

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/881,353 | 06/12/2001 | Farhad Mohit | BIZ/01-0003 | 8754 |
| 22874 GANZ LAW, 1 | 7590 08/18/201 P.C | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 2200 |) | FISHER, PAUL R | | |
| HILLSBORO, OR 97123 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3689 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/18/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mail@ganzlaw.com uspto@ganzlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/881,353 | MOHIT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| PAUL FISHER | 3689 | | |

| | PAUL FISHER | 3689 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| | i | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying the | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1° | | cted claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved to the beautiful control of the proposed. | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,18-59 and 64-67. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| | /Dennis Ruhl/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3689 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The new claim limitations are directed toward new material that will require further search and consideration. An Example of this is claim 1, where new limitations include "annotate the content document by annotating", which changes the scope of claim 1 and requires further search and consideration. Specifically the new claim amendments require that the content document be annotated rather then the previous broader limitation which merely required annotations to be created. The previous limitation did not require the created annotations being on the content document, while the new limitations of the previous limitation did not require the created annotations being on the content document, while the new limitations of the previous limitation did not require the created annotations being on the content document, while the new limitations of the previous limitation did not require the created annotations being on the content document, while the new limitations of the previous limitation and the content document of the previous limitation and the content document of the previous limitation and the content document of the content documen

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112 new matter rejection of claims 1-15, 18-59, 64-65 and 67 appears to be overcome by this amendment since the applicant has taken the negative limitation out of the claims, however since the scope of the claims has changed the amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments concerning the claims are directed toward new claim limitations which have not been entered since they require further search and consideration. Thus the arguments are considered most since they are reparating claims which have not been entered.